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Abstract
This study aims to determine the effect of financial knowledge and financial socialization on the financial satisfaction of the individuals when unexpected life events happen and to examine the mediating role of the financial stressor and financial behavior between them. The data collected from 243 respondents who had experienced any unexpected hospitality in the state of Azad Jammu and Kashmir. Grab sampling method utilized for data collection. Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) and Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) utilized for data analysis. Results of study reveal that: 1) financial knowledge and financial socialization have positive influence on financial satisfaction; 2) financial knowledge has positive effect on financial satisfaction with the mediating role of the financial stressor and financial behavior when an unexpected life event happens; and 3) financial socialization has positive effect on financial satisfaction with the mediating role of the financial stressor and financial behavior when an unexpected life event happened. This study provides clear insight toward the financial satisfaction of the individuals while confronting the unexpected life events. Practitioners and financial planners use this article for increasing the financial satisfaction of their customers. There is plethora of researches conducted in different perspective except life events of individuals. This study utilized confirmatory factor analysis, which is necessary when research carried out from a different perspective.
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INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, financial satisfaction has captured much attention of researchers, scholars, and practitioners in the field of public policy analysis and individual decision-making as well as in the realm of family financial planning (Costa, Carvalho, & Moreira, 2019). It is crucial to understand the level of financial satisfaction of people, as it can help policymakers to enhance the level of satisfaction of people. Financial satisfaction is a basic component of happiness, which results from diligent efforts made by someone. The word financial satisfaction derived from an individual’s behavior, which linked to diligent efforts, made in the form of managing their revenues for the fulfillment of financial needs. Financial satisfaction is a fundamental element of life satisfaction. Conclusively, a financially satisfied person is the person with positively satisfied with his or her life.

Subjective norm and social bounding trigger individuals’ behaviors. They calculate risk and avoid risk in the matter of financial division making (Ali, Lu, & Wang, 2013). Financial satisfaction is an essential element of financial wellbeing (Norvilitis, Szablicki, & Wilson, 2003) which is linked to psychological wellbeing (Archuleta, Dale, & Spann, 2013). The term financial wellbeing also has much attention in the recent decades due to the emergence of financial crisis that pushed countries into recession, concerning issues related to financial wellbeing discussed (Ranta, Chow, & Salmela-Aro, 2013). The most emerging issue is the financial situation of individuals. For example, young Malaysian employees face financial situation, for example, rising cost of living, which influences the wellbeing of individuals (CFBP, 2015). Studies (for example (Ruxyn, 2017) suggest that most of the individuals are incapable of making a financial decision for their wellbeing.

In the past decades plethora of studies laid emphasis on measuring financial satisfaction in different perspectives (Joo & Grable, 2004; Kalra Sahi, 2013; Newman, Delaney, & Nolan, 2008; Power & Hira, 2004; Sahi, 2017; Saurabh & Nandan, 2018; Xiao, Sorhaindo, & Garman, 2006). These studies examined different factors such as socio-economic factors, financial knowledge, financial socialization, and financial stressor’s influence on financial satisfaction of individuals and so on. However, there is none of the studies, to the best of the authors’ knowledge, has studied the financial satisfaction of any individual in a situation when they have confronted any specific event such as marriage and hospitality. Additionally, the past studies have largely ignored the lower middle class, which considered more vulnerable in the society. Moreover, the direction of such kind of relationship between the predictors and financial satisfaction not well established yet.

World Bank (2019) statistics also show that the gross domestic saving of the country has declined from 17.61 in 2004 to just 6.8% in 2018. A fall in the gross domestic saving result in changes in household structure, increased energy cost, decreased spending power and, increased unemployment. Erosion in the domestic savings of families, causes distress among families and weakens joint family system (Saurabh & Nandan, 2018). This situation is likely to be aggravated, when families have to confront unexpected hospitality. Since Pakistan has experienced substantial decline in the gross domestic savings, there is a need to study the financial satisfaction of the Pakistani peoples in situations when they confront unexpected hospitality.

To find out factors, which significantly influence on financial satisfaction, are financial knowledge, financial stressor, financial behavior, and the financial socialization. Studies determined the inconsistencies between the financial socialization and financial knowledge on financial satisfaction, but some studies found consistent. These consistencies depend on the cultural or socio-economic difference of the respondents. There are very few studies, which have attempted to check mediating
effect of both variables’ financial stressor and financial behavior on the financial satisfaction of individuals. There are also very few studies which have been conducted in developing countries. Besides, the previous studies have also ignored lower class, which considered a vulnerable class in every society. The objectives of this paper are to determine the effect of financial socialization and financial knowledge on financial satisfaction, and to examine the mediating role of financial behavior and financial stressor while the individuals confront unexpected hospitality.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Financial Knowledge and Financial Satisfaction
According to the Oxford dictionary, “financial knowledge is the awareness about some things such as facts, information and skills which obtained from the experience, learning and from education” (Dictionary). Financial knowledge referred to fundamental grip of financial conceptualization or financial methods. By utilizing financial concepts and methods, an individual can solve financial problems more effectively and efficiently (Delgadillo & Law, 2019). There are two elements of financial knowledge such as objective financial knowledge and subjective financial knowledge. Objective financial knowledge is the existing financial knowledge of individuals. It is the combination of existing and new knowledge. The subjective knowledge states the confidence of individuals to make financial decision effectively and efficiently (Wang, 2009). The present study, places focus on the only subjective financial knowledge.

Financial satisfaction is defined as someone’s present financial situation (Gerrans, Speelman, & Campitelli, 2014). It is a fundamental component of financial wellbeing (Norvilitis et al., 2003). There are numerous studies on the measurement of financial satisfaction (Kalra Sahi, 2013; Kirbiš, Vehovec, & Galić, 2017; Xiao, Chen, & Chen, 2014; Xiao & O’Neill, 2018; Xiao & Porto, 2017; Yong, Yew, & Wee, 2018).

Most of the prior studies utilized financial knowledge as predictor of financial wellbeing of individuals such as Archuleta et al. (2013), financial satisfaction (Saurabh & Nandan, 2018), diversification (Calvet, Campbell, and Sodini (2007), and financial distress (Lajuni, Bujang, Karia, & Yacob, 2018). Only few studies have shown the effect of financial knowledge on financial satisfaction as positive (Xiao et al. (2014). Some studies have reported negative relationship between them (Mugenda, Hira, & Fanslow, 1990).

H1: Financial Knowledge has a positive effect on financial satisfaction when an unexpected event has happened.

Financial Knowledge and Financial Stressors
A financial stressor is a kind of unpleasant feeling which is based on not fulfilment of elementary necessities of life and financial obligations (Davis & Mantler, 2004). It does have a psychological effect (Northern, O’Brien, & Goetz, 2010). According to Kirbiš et al. (2017) a financial stressor is a major component of financial satisfaction and financial knowledge. It is a kind of life cycle event. Those peoples with more rational financial behavior faced more financial stress. Financial stress does not only influence individuals but also affect family life. Financial stress may also come from the family, relatives, and friends.

A major cause of financial stressor is lack of knowledge and rational financial behavior of individuals. It adversely affects financial satisfaction. According to APA (2012) approximately 69% of the stress
of adults comes from financial issues. Financial stressor causes depression and anxiety (Andrews and Wilding (2004), bad academic performance (Harding (2011) and ill effects on the health of individuals (Northern et al., 2010). There are approximately 18-44% household face some kind of financial stress (Marks (2007) in Australia. Moreover, both low-income households and higher income households experience financial stress (Bray (2001).

H2: Financial knowledge has a positive effect on financial stressor when an unexpected event happened.

Financial Knowledge and Financial Behavior
According to Xiao (2008), financial behavior is any human behavior which is related to money management. Financial behavior utilized for any specific purpose of research in which mostly are not exhaustive. Li, Subrahmanyam, and Yang (2018) Outcome of financial knowledge are the products offered do create behavioral biases. Gerstenecker, Triebel, Eakin, Martin, and Marson (2018) Monetary knowledge and skill drive the behaviors of utilization existing resources and planning. Timmer (2018) Knowledge about the security of instrument tigers the behavior either to invest or not. Hsiao and Tsai (2018) Individuals at higher levels of financial knowledge are known to be better decision makers and having strong behavioral control. Hence Lanlan, Xuesong, and Rong (2018) proved by the role of knowledge significant contributor to build and guide the financial behavior

H3: Financial knowledge is the driver of the financial behavior.

Financial Socialization Financial Satisfaction
Socialization starts from the childhood of individuals and continues throughout life. Individuals gain different skills and knowledge from society through the procedure of socialization. It is the procedure to gain the knowledge and skills, which contribute to the wellbeing of society. There are visible differences in financial socialization and satisfaction of different people. Agnew, Maras, and Moon (2018) Confirmed variation in male and females’ financial satisfaction. Xiao and O’Neill (2018) Revealed that there are differences in propensity to plan based on socio-economic experiences and propensity to plan, which affect decisions. Experimental learning is vital for financial satisfaction LeBaron et al. it applies across major stakeholders in society parents, researchers, and educators. financial socialization and financial knowledge are positively influenced on the wellbeing of society (Falahati & Paim, 2011). Based on the above literature, we concluded that the level of financial socialization influences the financial decisions. Moreover, such decisions do affect financial satisfaction.

H4: Financial socialization increases financial satisfaction.

Financial Socialization and Financial Behavior
In the current era, most of the researchers of behavioural finance grab the attention of financial socialization because this is considering the root cause of decreasing personal savings. Parents considered the most influenced actors of socialization process. In the financial perspective, parents influenced in three ways: first, is modeling of the behaviors second is rules and monitoring and the third is one to one communication. Humans knew to be social entities. They learn to educate and respond as per their social experience. As Shim, Barber, Card, Xiao, and Serido (2010) proved that in cross-sections the role of socialization was evident in financial behavior. The family “What and How” are known to be critical in all aspects of individuals life. LeBaron, Hill, Rosa, and Marks
H5: Financial socialization builds up financial behavior.

Financial Socialization and Financial Stressors
Whenever individual go through the process of choice and pick, they are likely to face financial stress. However, the stress level varies with respect to gender and variety of other factors. People with more financial options feel more stress. People living in broader social circles feel more stressed as compared to those living in small communities (Hayhoe, Leach, Turner, Bruin, & Lawrence, 2000). Individuals under debt experience a higher level of financial stress. It is associated with the failure in life as people fail in academia or life ventures (Lim, Heckman, Montalto, & Letkiewicz, 2014). Parents with kids also face financial stress situation (Aboagye & Jung, 2018).

H6: Financial Socialization increases the financial stressors.

Financial Stressor and Financial Satisfaction
Financial stressor expected to impact financial satisfaction of people. It is defined as the life event which is influenced by the family, friends, and relatives (McCubbin & Patterson, 1983). Financial satisfaction is positively associated with the increase in the anxiety among college students in United Kingdom Andrews and Wilding (2004) also influenced academic performance (Joo, Durband, & Grable, 2008). There are a plethora of studies which confirm that peoples confronting financial dissatisfaction experience financial stress (Joo & Garman, 1998). Financial stressor would produce a negative effect on personal health of individuals, cause absenteeism from the workplace and create family issues (Kim & Garman, 2003).


Financial Behavior and Financial Satisfaction
People self-worth in relationship to society. Thoughts and beliefs are the key drivers to our financial behavior (Hira & Mugenda, 1999). Financial satisfaction is, directly and indirectly, related to factors like knowledge, information, risk and notably behavior (Joo & Grable, 2004). Gender is a dichotomy in all societies and individual behave differently and hold variable satisfaction parameters (Hira & Mugenda, 2000). A decision involving less risk increases the level of financial satisfaction (Xiao et al., 2014). There are more aids available to grow financial behavior that affects the productivity (Loibl & Hira, 2005). Feelings and commitments are vital to innovate human behavior (Wikhamn, 2019). Positive connections have been identified between financial behavior and satisfaction (Totenhagen, Wilmarth, Serido, Curran, & Shim, 2019). Financial behavior moderates with social experience that triggers spending and eventually satisfaction (Sirgy, Yu, Lee, & Bosnjak, 2019). However, the motive is to study good financial behavior that triggers financial satisfaction.

H8: Financial behavior triggers financial satisfaction.
This study employed quantitative approach. Survey method utilized to collect data from the respondents who had experienced the unexpected event such as unpredicted hospitality. This study opted the cross-sectional data collection approach. Based on literature, the conceptual model developed which shown in Figure 01. To determine the validity and reliability, confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) and other tests used. For the checking of mediation analysis, three steps proposed by (Baron & Kenny, 1986) were employed.

Data were analysed with SmartPLS. In the first phase of data analysis, this study checked the influence of financial knowledge on financial satisfaction in the presence of financial stressor and financial behavior. While on the second phase to determine the influence of financial socialization on financial satisfaction with the presence of financial behavior and financial stressor. In the third phase to examine the direct effect of financial knowledge and financial socialization on financial satisfaction.

This study conducted in Pakistan. Data collected from the peoples of Azad Kashmir who had confronted with unexpected hospital. According to Statistics (2017) total population of Azad Kashmir is 4.45 million and the literacy rate is 76.6%. The unemployment rate is 14.4% in the state of Azad Jammu and Kashmir. Grab sampling technique was utilized for the data collection. Researchers contacted the governmental as well as the private hospitals located in the state to gather records about patients admitted in the hospitals. Before getting responses, the researcher briefed each respondent about the objectives of the study and solicited their consent to provide their responses. In total 243 people provided their responses. All of them met the criterion i.e., they had experienced unexpected hospitality.

To measure financial knowledge of individuals, the scale was adapted from Robb and Woodyard (2011) with some modifications. The scale measured responses on three points i.e., “True”, “False” and “Don’t Know”. This scale used to determine fundamental knowledge of the respondents toward management of money. Six items scale was adapted from (Grable & Joo, 2001) to measure financial behavior with some modifications. This scale measured responses on five-point scale i.e., “never”, “seldom”, “sometimes”, “often” and “very often”. Six-Items scale was adapted from (Hira, Sabri, & Loibl, 2013) to measure financial socialization. It measured responses on five points scale i.e., “Strongly Disagree”, “Disagree”, “neutral”, “Agree” and “strongly”, “Agree”. Financial satisfaction
measured eight items adapted from (Hira & Mugenda, 1998). It also measured responses on 5 points scale (from strongly disagree to strongly agree). Scale of financial stressor was adopted from (Grable & Joo, 2001). It also measured responses on 5 points scale (from strongly disagree to strongly agree).

To analyze the model of current study, authors utilized partial least square (PLS) methods, which considered a second-generation analysis technique. For the data analysis, Smarts PLS version 3.2.8 was used which analyze both reflective as well formative model simultaneously (F. Hair Jr, Sarstedt, Hopkins, & G. Kuppelwieser, 2014). This is the strongest analysis method which is used by lots of prior studies (Hussain & Endut, 2018; Saurabh & Nandan, 2018) also very useful for visualizing the representation of the model.

ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

According to demographic analysis of the respondents, 86% (n=209) of the respondents were male and remaining 14% (n=34) female. About 26 % (n= 63) of the participants were in the age group of 20-30 years, 46% (n=112) in 31-40 years, 21% (n= 51) in 41-50 years and remaining 7% (n=17) in 51-60 years age group. About 38% (n=93) had faced one-time unexpected hospitality, 41.6 % (n=101) had experienced two times, 11.5 % (n=28) had experienced three times and remaining 8.6 % had experienced four times unexpected hospitality. About 42% (n=103) of the respondents had jobs while 58% (n=140) had no job at the time of survey.

Table 1.
Measurement Model
Statistics of the measurement model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before Iteration</th>
<th>AVE</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>Alpha</th>
<th>After Iteration</th>
<th>AVE</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>Alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loadings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loadings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB1</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Omitted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB2</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB3</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB4</td>
<td>0.737</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB5</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Omitted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB6</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB7</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB8</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK1</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK2</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK3</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK4</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK5</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK6</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK7</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSAT1</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSAT2</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSAT3</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSAT4</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSAT5</td>
<td>0.822</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSAT6</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSAT7</td>
<td>0.694</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>0.567</td>
<td>0.886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSOC1</td>
<td>0.822</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSOC2</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSOC3</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Omitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSOC4</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSOC5</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSOC6</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Omitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSOC7</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.805</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSTR1</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSTR2</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSTR3</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSTR4</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSTR5</td>
<td>0.393</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>Omitted</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>0.808</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 contains loadings of all items, and average variance extracted (AVE), CR and alpha values of all constructs before and after iteration. FB4, FK2, FK3, FSAT1, FSOC3, FSOC6 and FSTR5 removed due to their low size of loadings. Initially, values of AVE were below threshold level. However, removal of the items with low loadings increased the values of AVE and alpha, thus fulfilling the minimum requirements. The internal consistency of items threshold value is 0.70 (Nunnally, 1978). The values above the threshold indicate good internal consistency (Hair, Black, Babin, Anderson, & Tatham, 2006). The AVE cutoff values >0.50 and CR values > 0.70 are acceptable (Fornell & Larcker, 1981b).

Table 2.
Discriminant Validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Description</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial Behavior</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Knowledge</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Satisfaction</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Socialization</td>
<td>-0.066</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
<td>-0.139</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Stressor</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
<td>-0.155</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>0.719</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fornell and Larcker (1981a) used to test discriminant validity of the model. Table 2 contains correlation coefficients in the cells below the diagonal line while the square roots of the AVE values placed on the diagonal line. Table 2 shows that square root AVE value of each construct exceeds all values lying in the respective column and row, hence discriminant validity of the model is established.

Model Testing by using SEM

**Figure 2** display the results of mediation analysis by using Smart-PLS software.
Table 3.
SEM Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original Sample</th>
<th>Sample Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>T Statistics</th>
<th>P Values</th>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial Behavior -&gt; Financial Satisfaction</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>4.461</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Knowledge -&gt; Financial Behavior</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>2.152</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Knowledge -&gt; Financial Satisfaction</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>2.328</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Knowledge -&gt; Financial Stressor</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>2.030</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Socialization -&gt; Financial Behavior</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>0.638</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>18.382</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Socialization -&gt; Financial Satisfaction</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>3.507</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Socialization -&gt; Financial Stressor</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>7.681</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Stressor -&gt; Financial Satisfaction</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>2.992</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 03 shows that all hypotheses supported. Financial knowledge has a positive and significant impact on financial stressor ($\beta = 0.276$, $p \leq 0.05$). Financial knowledge has a significant and positive impact on financial satisfaction in the presence of financial stressor and financial behavior of investors ($\beta = 0.298$, $p \leq 0.05$). Financial stressor ($\beta = 0.144$, $p \leq 0.05$) and financial behavior ($\beta = 0.266$, $p \leq 0.05$) have also positive and significant impact on financial satisfaction. The direct impact of financial knowledge on financial satisfaction is significant ($\beta = 0.459$, $p \leq 0.05$). Some form of mediation supported if the effect of the mediating variable remains significant after controlling for the independent variable. If the independent variable is no longer significant when the mediating variable is controlled, the finding supports full mediation. If the independent variable is still significant (i.e., both independent and mediating variables both significantly predict dependent variable), the finding supports partial mediation (Baron & Kenny, 1986; Hayes & Scharkow, 2013; MacKinnon, Fairchild, & Fritz, 2007). Hence, we can conclude that financial stressor and financial
behavior partially mediate in the relationship between financial knowledge and financial satisfaction. The results also reveal that financial socialization has positive and significant impact on financial stressor ($\beta = 0.386, p \leq 0.05$). Financial socialization has significant and positive impact on financial satisfaction in the presence of financial stressor and financial behavior of investors ($\beta = 0.206, p \leq 0.05$). Financial stressor ($\beta = 0.144, p \leq 0.05$) and financial behavior ($\beta = 0.266, p \leq 0.05$) have also positive and significant impact on financial satisfaction. The direct impact of financial socialization on financial satisfaction is significant ($\beta = 0.523, p \leq 0.05$).

**Table 4.**
R-square Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latent Variable</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>R Square Adjusted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial Stressor</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Behavior</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>0.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Satisfaction</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td>0.521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 04 presents R square and adjusted R square values of all endogenous variables included in the model. According to Cohen (1988) for a good model, the value of R square of all endogenous latent variables should exceed 0.26. Since R, square values of all endogenous variables in the models exceed the suggested value.

**Table 5.**
Indirect Effect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latent Variable</th>
<th>Original Sample</th>
<th>Sample Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>T Statistics</th>
<th>P Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial Knowledge</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>3.217</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Socialization</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>5.570</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 shows the results of indirect effect analysis. It indicates that financial stressor and financial behavior have significant indirect relationship between financial knowledge, financial socialization and financial satisfaction. The results also show that the relationship is significant ($P < 0.05$) while the indirect effect of financial knowledge to financial satisfaction, financial socialization is also statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) indirect on financial satisfaction.

**CONCLUSION**

The main purpose of this study to investigate the effect of financial knowledge and financial socialization on financial satisfaction with the mediating role of the financial stressor and financial behavior of the people’s experienced unexpected hospitality. Based on results, we can conclude that all the predictors significantly influence their respective criterion variables and that there is a significant impact of mediation variables on the outcome’s variables.
Based on the results, financial knowledge and financial socialization has positive impact on financial stress (R² = 0.320). Financial knowledge and financial stressor are also influencing the financial behavior (R² = 0.501) and the financial stressor and financial behavior are explaining the financial satisfaction (R² = 0.527).

Results of the study also reveal that those peoples who are in the age group of 31-40 years having no job and that is why they experienced at least two times unexpected hospital. One of the possible explanations is that the lower class is mostly having joint family system, which causes more financial stress for the family. The study concludes that financial knowledge and financial socialization have low effect on financial stressor, but they have a critical role in financial satisfaction of the individuals. The main limitation of this study related to sample size. Findings of the study based on the responses of only 243 respondents. The findings of this study may not be generalizable to wider segments of population. The second limitation of the study is that analysis based on the cross-sectional study, to obtain consistent results, future studies recommended to collect longitudinal data. It also suggested that the effect of other life events such as marriage and retiring from work should also be examined. Besides, future studies may utilize other variables to measure financial satisfaction such as financial solvency, financial tolerance, and financial capability.
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